

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,006	03/23/2004	Robert C. Berfield	1-771 2819	
7	590 02/24/2005		EXAM	INER
Hooker & Habib, P.C.			WUJCIAK, ALFRED J	
Suite 304 100 Chestnut S	treet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Harrisburg, PA 17101			3632	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
V.		10/807,006	BERFIELD, ROBERT C.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alfred Joseph Wujciak III	3632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/23/	04 and 11 February 2005.				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is			
-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-15 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,5,6,8 and 9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2-4 and 7 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3/23/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te. <u>1</u> . atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first Office Action for the serial number 10/807,006, HOSE HOLDER, filed on 3/23/04.

This office action is responsive to the second Preliminary Amendment that was filed on 2/11/05.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to combination of hose and holder, classified in class 248,
 subclass 80.
- II. Claims 10-14, drawn to subcombination, classified in class 248, subclass 309.1.
- III. Claim 15, drawn to method, classified in class 264, subclass 508.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination can be used with a rack or bracket for supporting the hose. The subcombination has separate utility such as holder for supporting golf club on the ground.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used as storage for supporting rope or cable.

During a telephone conversation with Thomas Hooker on 2/11/05 and 2/17/05 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-9.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 10-15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9, line 1, "The tool" is indefinite and it should be changed to --- The holder--- for clarification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent # 6,700,064 to Aoki et al.

Aoki et al. teaches a corrugated hose (4) and a holder (figure 2) comprising two spaced apart support members (18), each member mounted on a support (5,9) and including at least one rib (24) located outwardly from the support and facing the rib on the other member. The corrugated hose includes valleys and an elastically bowed portion and side sections located to either side of the bowed portion. The side sections are positioned between the ribs (figure 1). The side sections being biased away from each other by the bowed portion so that one valley on each side section engages one rib on each member to support the hose. The ribs are generally horizontal and the hose extends generally vertically (figure 1). The ribs are generally vertical and the hose extends generally horizontal (since the holder is mounted on support (5,9) that has a pivot support, it can be swiveled to either horizontal or vertical direction which will change the direction of ribs and hose in vertical or horizontal).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al. in view of US Patent #6,502,276 to Iversen.

Aoki et al. teaches the members but fails to teach the members are secured to parts of a vacuum cleaner body. Iverson teaches the vacuum cleaner body (figure 1). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used Aoki et al.'s members on the vacuum cleaner body as taught by Iversen to provide a swivel support for supporting hose on the vacuum cleaner body.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al. in view of US Patent #6,446,915 to Ismert.

Aoki et al. teaches the members but fails to teach the members comprise a one piece of integral molded plastic body. Ismert teaches the member (22) is made of molded plastic body (col. 5, lines 58-59). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Aoki et al.'s member with molded plastic body as taught by Ismert to reduce cost in manufacturing process.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In regard to claims 2-4, the prior art fails to teach wherein each rib includes a lip. In regards to claim 7, the prior art fails to teach the support members are mounted on the wall.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US Patent # 6,619,596 to Caine et al.

US Patent # 2,955,790 to Sylvester

US Patent # 4,606,735 to Wilder et al.

US Patent # 4,406,434 to Schneckloth

US Patent # 3,747,166 to Eross

Caine et al., Sylvester, Wilder et al., Schneckloth and Eross teach holder/clamp for supporting hose/tube.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Joseph Wujciak III whose telephone number is (703) 306-5994. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A Braun can be reached on 703 308 2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,006

Art Unit: 3632

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III

Examiner

Art Unit 3632 A. Jayl Wing All

2/17/05